

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology: X



journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/european-journal-of-obstetrics-and-gynecology-andreproductive-biology

Markers of inflammation in obese pregnant women: Adenosine deaminase and high sensitive C – reactive protein

Grisilda Vidya Bernhardt^a, Pooja Shivappa^b, Kavitha Bernhardt^{c,*}, Sujatha Bhat^d, Janita R.T. Pinto^e, Malay Jhancy^f, Suresh Kumar^g

^a Department of Biochemistry, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAK Medical and Health Science University, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates

^b Department of Basic Sciences, RAK Medical and Health Science University, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates

^c Department of Physiology, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal Campus, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka. India

^d Department of Microbiology, Melaka Manipal Medical College, Manipal Campus, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka. India

^e Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, Gulf Medical University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates

^f Department of Pediatrics, College of Medical Sciences, RAK Medical and Health Science, University, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates

^g Department of Pharmacology, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAK Medical and Health Science University, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Adenosine deaminase (ADA) High sensitivity C - reactive protein (hs-CRP) Obesity Pregnancy Inflammation

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The worldwide increase in the prevalence of obesity over the years has emerged as a global health concern. The growing rate of obesity in women of child bearing age is particularly a matter of concern. Obesity is considered a risk factor that predisposes an individual to a proinflammatory state through the release of the inflammatory mediators. Recent studies have shown a positive correlation between the severity of inflammation and an increase in adenosine deaminase (ADA) and high sensitivity C- reactive protein (hs-CRP). Obese pregnancy women are at a higher risk for developing inflammation-mediated pregnancy complications like gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, and preterm delivery. Considering the fact that pregnancy, obesity and inflammation are closely linked, this study evaluated the inflammation associated with obesity during pregnancy by estimating changes in ADA and hs-CRP.

Materials and methods: The current study aimed to evaluate the levels of inflammation in obese pregnant women compared to non-obese pregnant women by correlating BMI with levels of ADA / hs-CRP. The study also aimed to examine the change in ADA and hs-CRP levels with gestational age (between the 1st and the 3rd trimester) in obese pregnant women as compared to non-obese pregnant women. We also examined whether changes in the levels of ADA correlate with changes in the levels of hs-CRP particularly in obese pregnant women.

Blood samples were collected from obese and non-obese pregnant women. ADA activity and hs-CRP levels were estimated by biochemical assays. BMI was evaluated in the 1st trimester and those women with BMI > 30 kg/m²were considered as obese. Thirty subjects were included in each of the two groups.

Results: ADA and hs-CRP levels were significantly higher in obese pregnant women in both the 1st and 3rd trimesters compared to non-obese participants (P value<0.05). Statistically significant higher values of ADA and hs-CRP were seen in obese participants in the 3rd trimester compared to the 1st trimester.A significant linear positive correlation was found between BMI and 3rd trimester ADA, and a linear positive correlation between BMI and 3rd trimester. The relationship between Δ ADA and Δ hs-CRP was non-significant.

Conclusions: The observations of this study reveal increased inflammatory responses in obese pregnant women and suggests the importance of ADA and hs-CRP as early indicators of obesity-related complications prevailing thereafter, these markers can be useful for clinical diagnosis of impending maternal and neonatal complications.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kavithabernhardt@gmail.com (K. Bernhardt).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurox.2022.100167

Received 30 June 2022; Received in revised form 27 September 2022; Accepted 12 October 2022 Available online 14 October 2022

2590-1613/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Obesity or overweight in simple terms is due to excessive fat accumulation that can cause various health complications. As per the WHO, a simple measure of obesity is the body mass index (BMI), calculated as a person's weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of his or her height (in meters). A person with a BMI of 30 kg/m^2 or more is generally considered obese. Obesity has been associated with significant adverse maternal and fetal outcomes, which provide a major challenge to obstetric practice. Studies have estimated that 25% of complications impacting the maternal body are attributable to overweight and obesity [1,2]. Increased maternal BMI is an independent risk factor for preeclampsia, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease (CVD), preterm delivery, cesarean delivery, delivery of a macrosomic infant, and metabolic disorders in children later in life [1-4]. There exists a link between maternal and neonatal health [4-6], therefore children born to obese mothers are at risk of future obesity, heart disease, and diabetes, the cause of which is described as "fetal programming" or "developmental origin of adult disease", that is associated with the abnormal intrauterine fetal development. The risk of intrauterine fetal complications is increased in obese women compared to normal-weight women [5]. These obesity-associated pregnancy and fetal complications may be associated with altered immune and inflammatory responses [7].

In recent years, increased interest has been developed in understanding the role of inflammation in type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, depressed innate immunity, and its association with obesity [2, 8]. Although there exists a theoretical explanation of the possible pathophysiology that links BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes, not many studies have examined the association of systemic inflammatory responses to obesity [9].

During the later stages of gestation anti-inflammatory cells must prevail and towards the end of gestation, a proinflammatory state for initiating labor may be necessary [10,11]. Thus, in normal pregnancy, a properly balanced and regulated inflammatory response is vital for placentation, from implantation through the stages of pregnancy to labor at term, obesity-associated disruption of this balance may be associated with pregnancy complications [7,12].

Adenosine deaminase (ADA) is an enzyme, whose primary function in humans is the development and maintenance of the immune system, which is produced in all cells including adipocytes, lymphocytes and monocytes which are cells of the immune system, and this enzyme primarily deaminates adenosine to inosine.

ADA plays a significant role in the proliferation, differentiation, and maturation of lymphocytes and is considered as an important immunoenzyme marker for cell-mediated immunity [13]. An increase in the expression and activity of ADA is linked to the severity of inflammation and has a crucial role in neurological, immunological and cardiovascular systems, where it regulates the required concentrations of adenosine [13,14]. ADA is found to increase in several inflammatory conditions and is considered as a marker of inflammation [15,16]. ADA is expressed in adipose tissue, and increased release of ADA from adipocytes in individuals with higher BMI indicates obesity-associated inflammation [17,18].

C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute phase protein of the pentraxin family, that is synthesized by liver macrophages and adipocytes in response to inflammatory stimuli like tumor necrosis factor, Interleukin 6 (IL-6), and IL-1 [19]. CRP is produced in response to inflammation of the blood vessel endothelium, and an increase in CRP is a direct measure of inflammation. High sensitive CRP (hs-CRP) is useful as an independent inflammatory marker for the prognosis of recurrent CVD, myocardial infarction, and stroke [20]. Obesity associated inflammation is marked by increased serum CRP levels [21].

Maternal systemic inflammation can lead to placental insufficiency, vascular dysfunction, preeclampsia, and preterm labor [22–24]. Adipose tissue is a prominent site that secretes proinflammatory IL6, a cytokine that stimulates the liver to release CRP, which marks systemic

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology: X 16 (2022) 100167

inflammation [25].

Understanding of the association between obesity, inflammation, and pregnancy may help in mitigating inflammation-mediated maternal and neonatal complications. Very few studies have examined CRP and ADA levels in relation to prepregnancy weight. There is also insufficient data regarding changes in the levels of inflammatory markers (ADA and CRP) with gestational age (from 1st to 3rd trimester) in obese women. Considering the fact that pregnancy, obesity and inflammation are closely linked, this study was aimed to determine whether obesity during pregnancy is associated with increased ADA and hs-CRP.

To understand whether there exists any correlation between BMI and the levels of systemic inflammatory markers namely the ADA / hs-CRP, we estimated them in obese pregnant women in the 1^{st} and in the 3^{rd} trimester compared to non-obese women. We also examined whether the serum levels of ADA and hs-CRP increases with gestational age, and finally we examined whether changes in the levels of ADA correlate with changes in the levels of hs-CRP in obese pregnant women.

Methods and procedures

Study design

In this observational prospective study, a group of 30 obese and 30 non-obese pregnant women (control group) were included.

This study was conducted on pregnant women attending an antenatal clinic for a regular checkup at a health care center in Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Ethical Committee of the same establishment approved the protocol of the study and all women signed written informed consent.

Study participants, measurement of BMI ADA& hs-CRP

Inclusion criteria

Participants involved women within the age group of 21–30 years with ultrasonography corroborated gestational age without prenatal complications. Participants were defined as obese women when BMI in the first trimester of pregnancy was in the obese range. For every consenting woman with BMI in the obese range, a non-obese participant matched with the corresponding obese case in age (within two years) and parity (nulliparous versus multiparous) was selected as control.

Exclusion criteria

Smokers, women with any evidence of recent infections or morbidity like cardiac diseases, bronchial asthma, acute or chronic inflammatory diseases, autoimmune diseases, women who were on medications like steroids, antipsychotic drugs, women with menstrual disorders, polycystic ovary disease and all known parameters that may affect ADA and CRP levels were not included in the study.

As our primary aim was to study the inflammatory markers (ADA and hs-CRP) in obese pregnant women, the weight and height of the consenting women were recorded in the first trimester (before 8 weeks of gestation) from which the BMIwascalculated according to the formula: weight (kg)/height² (m). BMI classification was based on the World Health Organization classification (underweight $\leq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$, normal $18.5-24.99 \text{ kg/m}^2$, preobese $25-29.99 \text{ kg/m}^2$, obese $\geq 30 \text{ kg/(m}^2)$, thus women with BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/(m)}^2$ in the first trimester were considered as obese. Prepregnancy weight of every participant was obtained by self-reporting questionnaire to confirm BMI calculated in the 1^{st} trimester. As gestational weight gain is insignificant in the 1^{st} trimester of pregnancy, BMI measured before the 12th week of gestation is largely used to ascertain prepregnancy weight [26].

Venous blood samples were collected twice from all participants, the first sample was drawn during the 1st trimester (before 8 weeks) and the second sample was drawn during the 3rd trimester (between 24 and 28 weeks).

Levels of ADA and CRP were measured in sera separated from venous blood collected from all participants.

Additionally, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, lipid profile, glucose challenge test with 50 gm glucose were also measured in all participants during thier 1st trimester.

Glucose challenge test was performed for screening gestational diabetes. The glucose challenge test was performed by estimating blood glucose after 1 h of consuming 50gms glucose dissolved in one glass of water.

The level of ADA activity in the serum was estimated based on the principle of deaminating adenosine to inosine, further converting the products to hypoxanthine by purine nucleoside phosphorylase. Hypoxanthine is converted to uric acid and hydrogen peroxide by xanthine oxidase. Hydrogen peroxide is then quantified by a Trinder reaction in Selectra Pro S biochemistry analyzer. Commercially available kit from Bio-systems was used for this purpose. ADA activity expressed as U/L represents moles of substrate converted by ADA at standard pH and temperature. hs-CRP (high sensitive CRP) was measured instead of CRP as CRP readings lacks sensitivity. Serum hs-CRP was measured by immunoturbidometric method using Agappe diagnostics nephelometer with a sensitivity of 0.04 mg/L.

Statistical analysis

The study data was analyzed with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for windows version 23 (IBM Corp, Armonk,N.Y,USA). Quantitative data is presented as mean±SD. Differences in serum ADA, hs-CRP and other variables were compared between non-obese and obese women by Student's t-test, assuming equal variance between the groups. Serum ADA, hs-CRP were compared between the 1st and the 3rd trimester using paired Student's t-test. The relationship between BMI and inflammatory markers were determined using Pearson's correlation coefficient and multiple linear regression analysis. The relationships between Δ ADA and Δ hs-CRP (Δ =Change in the value of ADA and hs-CRP between 1st and 3rd trimester) was also determined using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Multiple linear regression was used to understand the percentage of predictors specifying variances. P Value ≤ 0.05 with confidence intervals at 95% was considered as statistically significant in all analysis.

Results and observations

Demographic description and clinical characteristics between obese and non-obese pregnant women are shown in Table 1. As prepregnancy obesity is one of the risk factors for gestational diabetes which in turn

Table 1

Demographic data and biochemical parameters in the study participants.

Variable	Non-Obese (n = 30) Mean (SD)	Obese (n = 30) Mean (SD)
Age (years)	26.65 (3.9)	26.32 (4.02)
BMI- kg/m ²	22.61 (1.66)	32.69 (1.49) *
(1 st trimester/< 8 weeks gestation)		
Systolic Blood Pressure(mm/Hg)	112.32 (13.57)	110.74
		(11.74)
Diastolic Blood Pressure	72.97 (6.02)	75.29 (6.70)
Glucose (mg/dl)	100.4 (11.5)	105.71
		(10.80)
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	142.81 (14.52)	164.55
		(15.95)
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	100.65 (10.24)	149.51(11.86)
HDL (mg/dl)	45 (13.7)	41(14.5)
LDL(mg/dl)	71.5(15.1)	85.0 (23.6)
glucose challenge test values (50 gm	121.19 (8.50)	123.19
glucose)		(10.69)
* = P value obtained from Students T test sh	owing significant differ	rence in BMI

between obese and nonobese women.

can influence the levels of inflammatory markers, a glucose challenge test was performed with 50 g glucose on all participants. Glucose challenge test values did not were not significantly different between obese and non-obese participants, thus eliminating any association of gestational diabetes with ADA and hs-CRP levels. Mean and SD value of glucose challenge test are shown in Table 1. Mean and SD of BMI of participants designated as obese was 32.69 \pm 1.49 and of non-obese participants was 22.61 \pm 1.66.

ADA and hs-CRP levels were significantly higher in obese pregnant women during both 1^{st} and 3^{rd} trimesters compared to non-obese participants (Table 2 and Table 3).

We observed statistically significant higher values of ADA and hs-CRP in obese participants in the 3^{rd} trimester compared to the 1^{st} trimester (P value =0.037 and 0.001), suggesting a significant increase in the levels of these markers with gestational age particularly in obese participants.

Regarding the correlation of BMI with ADA/hs-CRP, a significant linear positive correlation (shown in Table 4) was found between BMI and 3^{rd} trimester ADA (r = 0.44,P = 0.004), a linear positive correlation between BMI and hs-CRP both in the 1^{st} (r = 0.036,P = 0.003) and the 3^{rd} trimester (r = 0.534,P = 0.0001), indicating higher levels of the inflammatory markers in pregnant women with higher BMI.

Multiple linear regression showed statistically significant influence of 1^{st} trimester /prepregnancy BMI (P value =0.01,r = 0.31), 1^{st} trimester hs-CRP (P value = 0.03,r = 0.25) and 1^{st} trimester ADA(P value =0.01,r = 0.3) on the levels of hs-CRP, suggesting that these factors determine increased levels of hs-CRP in the 3^{rd} trimester. However, only 1^{st} trimester /prepregnancy BMI (P value=0.01,r = 0.35) was seen to significantly influence the increased levels of 3^{rd} trimester ADA.

As both ADA and hs-CRP increased significantly in obese pregnant women, we sought to understand the correlation (Pearson's) between the two markers from the deduced Δ values. The correlation between Δ ADA and Δ hs-CRP was non significant with very small negative relationship (P = 0.370), indicating that these two markers rise independent of each other. Δ values reflect ADA and hs-CRP levels between the 1st and the 3rd trimester which was determined by subtracting the 1st trimester value from the 3rd trimester value for each participant.

Multiple linear regression appropriated that BMI and 1st trimester hs-CRP are statistically significant predictors for Δ hs-CRP. [Delta hs-CRP = -0.673491 + 0.143302 (BMI) - 0.596047 (1st trimester hs-CRP), R² = 0.27] indicating that predictors specify 27% of the variance.

Discussion

Obesity during pregnancy poses a risk to both maternal and fetal health, the exact mechanism of which is still unclear [5]. Prepregnancy weight is an important factor that determines gestational weight gain and higher prepregnancy BMI is associated with adverse maternal and neonatal outcome [27]. The present study highlights increased inflammatory responses in obese pregnant women. Statistically significant higher levels of serum ADA and serum hs-CRP in obese pregnant women (in whom 1st trimester /prepregnancy BMI was in obese range),

Table	e 2			

Comparison of ADA values in Non-Obese & Obese Women.
--

		Non-Obese Women (n = 30)	Obese Women (n = 30)	P Value #
ADA U/L Mean	1 st trimester	25.06 (4.02)	28.61 (4.7)	0.001 #
(SD)	3 rd trimester	26.54 (5.15)	31 (4.03)	0.0003 #
	P Value \$	0.02	0.03\$	

Statistically significant P values are displayed in bold characters # P Value for comparison between Non-Obese & Obese Women. \$ P value between 1st & 3rd trimester

Table 3

Comparison of hs-CRP values in Non-Obese & Obese Women.

		Non-Obese Women (n = 30)	Obese Women (n = 30)	P Value #
hs-CRP mg/L Mean (SD))	1 st trimester 3 rd trimester	3.17 (1.31) 3.79 (1.24)	4.04 (1.2) 5.7 (1.78))	0.01 # 0.0001 #
	P Value \$	0.06	0.001 \$	

Statistically significant P values are displayed in bold characters # P value for comparison between Non-Obese& Obese Women.

\$ P value between 1st & 3rd trimester

Table 4

Pearson's Correlation analysis between BMI & ADA, BMI & hs-CRP.

	1^{st} trimester (n = 60)		3^{rd} trimester (n = 60)	
	r value	P value	r value	P value
BMI Vs ADA	0.534	0.058	0.44	0.0003 *
BMI Vs hs-CRP	0.3696	0.004 *	0.534	0.00001 *

*The result is significant at p < 0.05.and shows significant positive correlation. Statistically significant P values are displayed in bold characters

compared to non-obese in both the 1st and the 3rd trimesters, statistically significant rise in the levels of serum ADA and serum hs-CRP with gestational age (from 1st to 3rd trimester) in obese pregnant women when compared to non-obese counterparts, significant higher levels of serum ADA and hs-CRP corresponding to higher BMI, are important indicators of inflammation noted in this study.

Increased levels serum ADA and hs-CRP confirms the pregnancyassociated increased inflammatory /immune responses, particularly in the early and late pregnancy periods [10,11]. Statistically significant higher levels of serum ADA and serum hs-CRP in obese pregnant women during the 3rd trimester compared to the 1st trimester is an important finding of this study indicating progressing inflammatory events along with progression in gestational age, particularly in women with prepregnancy obesity. Increased inflammatory responses in obese pregnant women underlie the pathophysiology of pregnancy-associated preeclampsia, preterm delivery, and other complications. Elevated ADA and CRP are known to hallmark underlying immunopathology in several diseases [22, 23, 28–30].

Higher levels of serum ADA and CRP in obese pregnant women confirm the strong link between various inflammatory-mediated events and depressed innate immunity in these individuals [8].

Rise in serum ADA during pregnancy, particularly in obese pregnant women, may be because of the increase in anti-inflammatory metabolite adenosine in response to inflammation produced by expanding adipose tissue. The enzyme ADA acts on adenosine and converts it into inosine, thereby causing increasing activity of serum ADA. Adenosine can influence immune-altered cytokine release, thereby increasing the release of CRP. Even though adipose tissue is primarily considered as a storehouse of excess energy, it is also a metabolically highly active endocrine organ that secretes numerous inflammatory markers [25,30]. Serum ADA in pregnancy may be also contributed by the monocyte- macrophage system, lymphocytes, and hematopoietic cells, such as T helper cells, besides adipocytes. T helper cells are important regulators of immune resort during pregnancy; they produce various cytokines that release ADA and CRP [31–35].

A close correlation between the severity of inflammation and a localized surge in activity and expression of ADA has been previously reported, for which obesity-associated increase in macrophage accumulation within adipose tissue is identified as the cause [36].

Additionally, elevated serum ADA in obese pregnant women reflects altered cellular immunity, as ADA is crucial for lymphoid cell differentiation. Obesity during pregnancy can affect cellular and humoral immunity along with augmented inflammatory responses[28]. Previous studies have reported increased serum ADA activity in pregnancy-associated preeclampsia [29,30], and the risk of preeclampsia increases in overweight pregnant women due to higher levels of inflammation [37].

Estimation of serum ADA is largely being used to monitor diseases involving proinflammatory events and altered immunity [38]. Further studies are warranted to understand the mechanisms that cause the increase in serum ADA levels, the regulatory mechanisms involving increased ADA, and altered cell-mediated immunity in pregnancy. Such studies may reveal information regarding obesity-linked pregnancy complications more clearly.

Increase in serum CRP with gestational age in healthy pregnant women was reported by Mei. Z et. al. [39], in our study, we did not find any statistically significant higher levels of hs-CRP in non-obese women with gestational age, however, we found significant higher levels of hs-CRP with gestational age in obese participants. Increase in the levels of CRP in obese pregnant women as gestation progresses indicates higher levels of ongoing pro-inflammatory responses [40]. Another study conducted to understand the effect of glucose challenge on BMI reported that CRP, along with other markers of inflammation like leptin (adipokine) was strongly correlated with BMI at $24^{th} - 29^{th}$ week gestation [41].

Mild elevation in serum CRP during pregnancy may be due to maternal response, but higher levels are associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia with fluid retention, proteinuria, intrauterine growth restriction, endothelial dysfunction, preterm delivery and other neonatal complications [1, 22, 23, 42], Higher levels of both serum ADA and hs-CRP in obese pregnant women and the increase in their values as pregnancy advances, can be attributed to adipocytes that secrete the proinflammatory cytokines like the IL6 and adenosine which in turn causes CRP release, and increase in ADA activity [25,43]. Thus women with higher levels of ADA and CRP must be carefully monitored for complications prevailing thereafter.

Statistically significant positive correlation between BMI and hs-CRP observed in this study complements the findings of the previous study that report higher CRP levels in pregnant women with increased prepregnancy/1st trimester BMI [44].

Obesity is associated with an ongoing state of low-grade inflammation and higher values of hs-CRP in pregnant women with higher BMI pinpoints that, in pregnant women with higher prepregnancy adiposity there is a chronic ongoing state of inflammation that can adversely influence pregnancy and neonatal outcomes [21, 40, 44].

The present study also indicates that the increase in the levels of ADA and increase in the levels of hs-CRP along with gestational age are independent of each other and both markers can independently display inflammatory status.

The results of this study convey that estimation of ADA and hs-CRP in pregnant women and estimating the increase in the levels of these markers with gestational age will be helpful indicators of altered inflammatory responses in pregnant women with increased BMI, reflecting the risk of adverse outcomes like preeclampsia, preterm delivery, suboptimal placental development, and risk of neonatal complications. Maternal obesity augments already active metabolic changes in adipose tissue and along with obesity-related elevation of inflammatory responses, may all together play an important role in the pathophysiology of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Conclusions and recommendations

The results of the present study convey that obesity in pregnancy is associated with increased levels of ADA and hs-CRP, confirming the association of inflammation in obese pregnant women.

Adverse pregnancy outcomes that are most often associated with increased prepregnancy/ 1^{st} trimester obesity may be originating from increased systemic inflammatory mediators.

Furthermore, our results indicate that the inflammatory state in

obese pregnant women increases as pregnancy advances. Further studies to identify the reference levels of serum ADA and hs-CRP in obese and non-obese pregnant women will help to utilize these easy-to-perform and economical markers to gauge inflammation during pregnancy and can serve as useful markers for the diagnosis of impending inflammation-associated pregnancy complications. Studies are warranted to elucidate the mechanisms regulating changes in the levels of inflammatory markers in obese pregnant women. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to confirm the inflammatory status by estimating changes in the levels of ADA and hs-CRP in obese pregnant women during the 1st and 3rd trimester. Furthermore, understanding the inflammatory status in the early trimesters of pregnancy will help to identify women at increased risk of adverse outcomes so that appropriate management can be planned.

Limitations of this study are the relatively small sample size. Further studies with larger sample size and following pregnancy to term to check for adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with higher levels of inflammatory markers are required.

Funding statement

Medicity Diagnostics, Mangalore, Karnataka, India funded this project.

Conflicts of interest

Authors state no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

- [1] Shin D, Song WO. Prepregnancy body mass index is an independent risk factor for Gestational hypertension, gestational Diabetes, preterm labor, and small- And Large-for-Gestational-Age Infants. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2014;28(14): 1679–86. https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2014.964675.
- [2] Santos, S., Voerman, E., Amiano, P., Barros, H., Beilin, L.J., Bergström, A., et al. (2019). Impact of maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain on pregnancy complications: an individual participant data meta-analysis of European, North American and Australian cohorts. BJOG: an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology, 126(8), 984–995. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1471–0528.15661.
- [3] Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, Wadsworth J, Joffe M, Beard RW, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287,213 pregnancies in London. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord J Int Assoc Study Obes 2001;25(8):1175–82. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0801670.
- [4] Baeten JM, Bukusi EA, Lambe M. Pregnancy complications and outcomes among overweight and obese nulliparous women. Am J Public Health 2001;91(3):436–40. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.91.3.436.
- [5] Ovesen P, Rasmussen S, Kesmodel U. Effect of prepregnancy maternal overweight and obesity on pregnancy outcome. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118(2 Pt 1):305–12. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182245d49.
- [6] Bernhardt GV, Jhancy M, Shivappa P, Bernhard K, Pinto JRT. Relationship between maternal and cord blood iron status in women and their new born Pairs. Biomed Pharmacol J 2021;14(1):317–22. https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/2128.
- [7] Bodnar, Lisa M, Ness Roberta B, Harger Gail F, Roberts James M. Inflammation and triglycerides partially mediate the effect of prepregnancy body mass index on the risk of preeclampsia. Am J Epidemiol 2005;162(12):1198–206. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/aje/kwi334.
- [8] Gregor MP, Hotamisligil GS. Inflamm Mech Obes Annu Rev Immunol 2011;29: 415–45. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101322.
- [9] Shin D, Hur J, Cho E-H, Chung H-K, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, et al. Pre-pregnancy body mass index is associated with dietary inflammatory index and C-reactive protein concentrations during pregnancy. Nutrients 2017;9(4):351. https://doi. org/10.3390/nu9040351.
- [10] Gonzalez A, Sahaza JH, Ortiz BL, Restrepo A, Cano LE. Production of pro inflammatory cytokines during the early stages of experimental Paracoccidioides brasiliens is infection. Med Mycol 2003;41(5):391–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13693780310001610038.
- [11] Mjösberg J, Berg G, Jenmalm MC, Ernerudh J. FOXP3+ regulatory T cells and T helper 1, T helper 2, and T helper 17 cells in human early pregnancy decidua. Biol Reprod 2010;82(4):698–705. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.109.081208.

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology: X 16 (2022) 100167

- [12] Hauguel-de Mouzon, S., & Guerre-Millo, M. The placenta cytokine network and inflammatory signals. Placenta 2006;27(8):794–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. placenta.2005.08.009.
- [13] Adams A, Harkness RA. Adenosine deaminase activity in thymus and other human tissues. Clin Exp Immunol 1976;26(3):647–9.
- [14] Singh LS, Sharma R. Alloxan diabetes regulates adenosine deaminase activity in mice: tissue- and age-specific correlation. Biochem Mol Biol Int 1998;46(1):55–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/15216549800203552.
- [15] Antonioli L, Colucci R, La Motta C, Tuccori M, Awwad O, Da Settimo F, et al. Adenosine deaminase in the modulation of immune system and its potential as a novel target for treatment of inflammatory disorders. Curr Drug Targets 2012;13 (6):842–62. https://doi.org/10.2174/138945012800564095.
- [16] Vinapamula KS, Pemmaraju SV, Bhattaram SK, Bitla AR, Manohar SM. Serum adenosine deaminase as inflammatory marker in rheumatoid arthritis. J Clin Diagn Res JCDR 2015;9(9):BC08–10. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2015/14296.6483.
- [17] Sun S, Ji Y, Kersten S, Qi L. Mechanisms of inflammatory responses in obese adipose tissue. Annu Rev Nutr 2012;32:261–86. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevnutr-071811-150623.
- [18] Wen F, Zhang H, Bao C, Yang M, Wang N, Zhang J, et al. Resistin increases ectopic deposition of lipids through miR-696 in C2C12 cells. Biochem Genet 2015;53(4–6): 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10528-015- 9672-2.
- [19] Bray C, Bell LN, Liang H, Haykal R, Kaiksow F, Mazza JJ, et al. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and c-reactive protein measurements and their relevance in clinical medicine. WMJ: Publ State Med Soc Wis 2016;115(6):317–21.
- [20] Pearson, T.A., Mensah, G.A., Alexander, R.W., Anderson, J.L., Cannon, R.O., 3rd, Criqui, M. et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, & American Heart Association (2003). Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American Heart Association. Circulation, 107(3), 499–511.https://doi.org/ 10.1161/01.cir.0000052939.59093.45.
- [21] Choi J, Joseph L, Pilote L. Obesity and C-reactive protein in various populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev J Int Assoc Study Obes 2013;14(3): 232–44. https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12003.
- [22] Chen YH, Li L, Chen W, Liu ZB, Ma L, Gao XX, et al. Pre-pregnancy underweight and obesity are positively associated with small-for-gestational-age infants in a Chinese population. Sci Rep 2019;9(1):15544. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52018-7.
- [23] Sacks G. Maternal C-reactive protein levels are raised at 4 weeks gestation. Hum Reprod 2004;19(4):1025–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deh179.
- [24] Huda, S.S., Jordan, F., Bray, J., Love, G., Payne, R., Sattar, N., & Freeman, D.J. (2017). Visceral adipose tissue activated macrophage content and inflammatory adipokine secretion is higher in pre-eclampsia than in healthy pregnancys. Clinical science (London, England: 1979), 131(13), 1529–1540. https://doi.org/10.1042/ CS20160832.
- [25] Fontana L, Eagon JC, Trujillo ME, Scherer PE, Klein S. Visceral fat adipokine secretion is associated with systemic inflammation in obese humans. Diabetes 2007;56(4):1010–3. https://doi.org/10.2337/db06-1656.
- [26] Rasmussen K.M., Catalano P.M., Yaktine A.L. (2009). New guidelines for weight gain during pregnancy: what obstetrician/gynecologists should know. Current Opinion Obstetric Gynecology,Dec;21(6):521–526. doi: 10.1097/ GCO.0b013e328332d24e. PMID: 19809317; PMCID: PMC2847829.
- [27] Voerman E., Santos S., Inskip H., Amiano P., Barros H., Charles M.A., et al. LifeCycle Project-Maternal Obesity and Childhood Outcomes Study Group.(2019) Association of Gestational Weight Gain With Adverse Maternal and Infant Outcomes. JAMA, 7;321(17):1702–1715. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.3820. PMID: 31063572; PMCID: PMC506886.
- [28] Mallmann P, Diedrich K. Cell mediated immunity in infertility. Arch Gynecol Obstet 1992;251(2):55–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02759912.
- [29] Bahadır G, Döventaş YE, Turkal R, Koldaş M, Basınoğlu F, Dane B, et al. Serum adenosine deaminase and its isoenzyme activities in pregnancy. J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc 2011;12(4):209–13. https://doi.org/10.5152/jtgga.2011.53.
- [30] Rukmini MS, Kowsalya R, Pai B, Das P, Perriera J, Nandini M, et al. Plasma adenosine deaminase activity and antioxidant status in preeclampsia compared to healthy pregnant and nonpregnant women. Biomed Res 2009;20(1):15–20.
- [31] Kershaw EE, Flier JS. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89(6):2548–56. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-0395.
- [32] Jadhav AA, Jain A. Elevated adenosine deaminase activity in overweight and obese Indian subjects. Arch Physiol Biochem 2012;118(1):1–5. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 13813455.2011.603341.
- [33] Ungerer JP, Oosthuizen HM, Bissbort SH, Vermaak WJ. Serum adenosine deaminase: isoenzymes and diagnostic application. Clin Chem 1992;38(7):1322–6.
- [34] Mosmann TR, Sad S. The expanding universe of T-cell subsets: Th1, Th2 and more. Immunol Today 1996;17(3):138–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167- 5699(96) 80606-2.
- [35] Cordero OJ, Salgado FJ, Fernández-Alonso CM, Herrera C, Lluis C, Franco R, et al. Cytokines regulate membrane adenosine deaminase on human activated lymphocytes. J Leukoc Biol 2001;70(6):920–30.
- [36] Xu H, Barnes GT, Yang Q, Tan G, Yang D, Chou CJ, et al. Chronic inflammation in fat plays a crucial role in the development of obesity-related insulin resistance. J Clin Investig 2003;112(12):1821–30. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI19451.
- [37] Yoneyama Y, Sawa R, Suzuki S, Doi D, Yoneyama K, Otsubo Y, et al. Relationship between plasma malondialdehyde levels and adenosine deaminase activities in preeclampsia. Clin Chim Acta Int J Clin Chem 2002;322(1–2):169–73. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0009-8981(02)00175-4.

G.V. Bernhardt et al.

- [38] Chikkahonnaiah P. Utility of serum ADA estimation in the diagnosis OF extrapulmonary tuberculosis. J Med Sci Clin Res 2017;05(05):21549–53. https:// doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v5i5.52.
- [39] Mei Z, Li H, Serdula MK, Flores-Ayala RC, Wang L, Liu JM, et al. C-reactive protein increases with gestational age during pregnancy among Chinese women. Am J Hum Biol J Hum Biol Counc 2016;28(4):574–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ajhb.22837.
- [40] Jain V, Kumar A, Agarwala A, Vikram N, Ramakrishnan L. Adiponectin, interleukin-6 and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels in overweight/obese indian children. Indian Pediatr 2017;54(10):848–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13312-017-1148-5.
- [41] Verhaeghe J, van Bree R, Lambin S, Caluwaerts S. Adipokine profile and C-reactive protein in pregnancy: effects of glucose challenge response versus body mass index.

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology: X 16 (2022) 100167

J Soc Gynecol Investig 2005;12(5):330-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jsgi.2005.02.002.

- [42] Tajran DS, Pershadsingh HA. Can c-reactive protein function as a surrogate marker of preeclampsia? Obstet Gynecol 2002;99(Supplement). https://doi.org/10.1097/ 00006250-200204001-00026.
- [43] Zidek Z. Adenosine cyclic AMP pathways and cytokine expression. Eur Cytokine Netw 1999;10(3):319–28.
- [44] McDade TW, Borja JB, Largado F, Adair LS, Kuzawa CW. Adiposity and chronic inflammation in young women predict inflammation during normal pregnancy in the Philippines. J Nutr 2016;146(2):353–7. https://doi.org/10.3945/ jn.115.224279.